

New York Tribune
First to Last—the Truth—News—Editorials—Advertisements
Member of the Audit Bureau of Circulations

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 1921

Owned by New York Tribune Inc., a New York Corporation. Published daily, except on Sundays, and on public holidays. Office: 120 Broadway, New York. Telephone: 1000.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES—By mail, including postage in the United States:

	One Year	Six Months	Three Months
By Mail, Postpaid	\$12.00	\$6.00	\$3.00
Daily and Sunday	\$12.00	\$6.00	\$3.00
One week, 30c.			
Daily only	10.00	5.00	2.50
One week, 25c.			
Sunday only	4.00	2.00	1.00
Sunday only, Canada	6.00	3.00	1.50

FOREIGN RATES

	One Year	Six Months	Three Months
Daily and Sunday	\$20.00	\$12.00	\$6.00
Daily only	17.00	9.00	4.50
Sunday only	9.00	4.50	2.25

Entered at the Postoffice at New York as Second Class Matter.

GUARANTY
You can purchase merchandise advertised in THE TRIBUNE with absolute safety—for if dissatisfaction results in any case THE TRIBUNE guarantees to pay your money back upon request. No red tape. No fussing. We make good promptly if the advertiser does not.

MEMBER OF THE ASSOCIATED PRESS
The Associated Press is exclusively entitled to the use for publication of all news dispatches received by it or not otherwise credited to this paper, and also the local news of spontaneous origin published herein.

All rights of reproduction of all other matter herein also are reserved.

due to the fact that these people have learned to appreciate the value of self-government and to realize that there is a mean between radicalism as swept France in 1793 and the despotism of a monarchy or empire. This mean they have found and will preserve. Republicanism is firmly entrenched in France. She sought to have a republic by proclamation and constitutional short cuts, but human nature would not allow this and demanded a century as elbow room for real progress.

Of Such Is Hylanism

A spectacle, indeed, to fill and engage the eye of the town was that provided yesterday at the hearing of the legislative investigating committee—the Markets Commissioner quiting the witness stand rather than meet the charges of corruption and graft and chicanery resting upon his department! Having been compelled to sign a waiver of immunity, O'Malley knew and his counsel knew that any testimony given by him under such circumstances would be available to the state in any proceeding it might desire to undertake. So his counsel, seeing him pressed by the investigators, bade him be silent. And O'Malley, who had clamored for his day in court and an opportunity to be heard in defense of himself and his administration of the Markets Department, chose, as was his constitutional right, to accept that advice.

The act speaks for itself. So does the act of the counsel in chief of the investigators in forwarding to the public prosecutor a transcript of the evidence which has been adduced by him concerning O'Malley's administration of his department.

But let not the public forget that there is more than that to this scandal. All that has been dragged into the light and recorded of bribery and corruption and maladministration could not have been, could not be, save for Hylanism.

And how mean it is! Of what meanness is Hylanism capable! It boasts of its sympathy and interest in the poor, and it stands unmasked as a profiteer in their foodstuffs—an exploiter of their market places!

The Markets Department was conceived as a division of the municipal government primarily to help the poor and cheapen the cost of living. It was planned to provide stands and stalls at such nominal rentals and under such regulations as would enable those of limited means to purchase the necessities of life at prices forbidden by other forms of private distribution. It was to be the haven of the housewife who not only has to count the noses around her board but the dimes in her pocketbook.

The while, however, that the housewife was counting her dimes and wondering why the prices of her bread and meat and fish did not come down, but instead kept soaring, she was being betrayed. For every dollar of graft that passed she in turn paid back to the bribers in the cost of her purchases—in the price of her daily bread.

What surpassing meanness is this!

Properly Labeled

"The Conference for the Limitation of Armaments" is to be the official designation of the meeting in Washington in November.

The title is well chosen. To call it the "Disarmament Conference" would be to guilty of the Wilsonian practice of vainly promising. It would too much raise millennial hopes.

To call it the "Armament Conference" would also be misleading, for it would imply a possible increase in armaments. To call it the "Pacific Conference" would also be unsatisfactory, for it would too much stress geography.

A misleading label is dangerous. Much of the harm of Mr. Wilson's catchwords lay in the fact that they were delusive. They sounded well, but raised false hopes. They implied a sudden attainment of heavenly perfection, and naturally were followed by disillusion, strife and bitterness.

In the case of the conference on the limitation of armaments it is just as well to be clear from the start. While striving to attain the ideal, which in the present case is considered by many to be complete disarmament, we must remember that this can only be achieved step by step. The greatest possible cut in armaments is, of course, to be hoped for. But it is folly not to realize that the question is one of the degree of limitation or reduction that can be reached at present. Senator Borah, true to his traditions, suggests that all the battleships of Great Britain, France and the United States be taken out and sunk together in the deepest part of the ocean, to the tune of "Down Went McGinty." In so doing the distinguished Senator has out-Bryanned Bryan, who pictured a million farmers, with pitchforks to repel the invaders, flow to the seashore in fivers. Mr. Bryan at least recognized that steel and iron were of some use, while Senator Borah's attitude is that of the Sullivan law.

The voices of pacifists, visionaries and the Bolsheviks who glory in Lenin's military victories but damn America's defense will, of course, clamor loudly at any signs of compromise with the facts of a sordid world. But progress is necessary

sarily step by step, and no one by loud shouts that this shouldn't be so can change this law of life.

The Mother of Nineteen

The correspondence of President Harding, John Wanamaker and Mrs. Domenico Zaccaria, mother of nineteen, is so creditable to all the participants that comparisons may well be avoided. But if choice must be made, here goes one ballot to Mrs. Zaccaria. The promptness with which she sought a better job for her husband suggests how it happens that the numerous Zaccarias are able to thrive on \$20 a week. New York has at least one head of a family not guilty of the offense which the Bible says is worse than being an infidel.

Time has brought changes in the ideals of the ancient institution of motherhood. In the three interesting letters may be observed what may be called a strong civilian note. The Zaccaria boys and girls are to work and to get on by honest toil rather than to become soldiers or priests or feudal gentlemen. Yet it is little more than a century since Mme. de Staël, when she asked Napoleon whom he regarded as the greatest woman in the world, received the reply: "Madame, the mother who has reared the most sons for my armies."

But though there is a shift in maternal objectives there is none in aspirations. Mrs. Zaccaria would have a larger income so as to have "an opportunity to bring the younger children to maturity with a better education." So through all the ages mothers have worked and planned. Yet against those trained in mutual helpfulness and having an alma mater at home what chance has a boy or a girl whose education is artificial? It is not by accident that America's leaders come from among the Zaccarias.

The Davis Monument

The erection of a stately obelisk in memory of Jefferson Davis to mark his birthplace attracts little attention. Yet not long ago protests would have been voluminous and forceful. Great has been the change. Multitudes who once would have frowned upon the shaft will now regard it with a degree of approbation, as denoting not so much glorification of an attempted secession as confirmation of a perpetual and indissoluble Union.

Jefferson Davis was for many years an eminent and respected public servant of the United States, gallant in war, wise in council, capable in administration and pure in character, and for four years was the head of a government of a great people—a government which, no matter how much or how justly we condemn it as unlawful, did exist *de facto*, exercised all the functions of government and commanded our own recognition as a belligerent power. Such a career bulks large in American history and is not unworthy of commemoration.

Years ago, largely by the votes of New England and the old Free States, the name of Robert E. Lee was inscribed by the side of that of Ulysses S. Grant in the Hall of Fame for Great Americans. When that was done the last barrier of partition between what were once the discordant sections of our common country was broken down.

Now, after two-thirds of a century, it is profitable to recall that the two great civilian protagonists of our domestic conflict both sprang from the "dark and bloody ground" of Kentucky, only a few miles apart in space and less than a year apart in time. To the one the whole nation has paid such memorial tributes as not more than one other in all its history has known. To the other the nation will not begrudge such monumental honors as his native state may bestow. When Horace Greeley signed the bail bond of Jefferson Davis great was the burst of wrath against him. He happened to be in spirit something more than half a century ahead of his time.

Poets

In common with the published information about the advanced age of so many of our business and professional men of the present period comes the news from England that British poets are now living much longer than of yore. We have with us at present, for example, Thomas Hardy (eighty-two), Austin Dobson (eighty-one), Robert Bridges (seventy-seven), Edward Gosse (seventy-two) and Sir William Watson (sixty-three), as against the same number of poets at the beginning of the last century: Henry Kirke White (twenty-one), John Keats (twenty-six), Shelley (thirty), Lord Byron (thirty-six) and Thomas Hood (forty-seven).

Can it be said that our own American poets are enjoying the same immunity from the inroads of time that is thus apparent in England? And is this, after all, not unlike the old game which began with the direction "first catch your rabbit"? Edgar Allan Poe is the only poet duly acknowledged to be such by foreign critics, unless we admit also Walt Whitman. Poe lived to be forty and Whitman lived to be over seventy.

There are undoubtedly among us great numbers of so-called poets. Much of their work is more pleasing

than some of that of the oldtimers. Edwin Arlington Robinson is a good poet. So is Nicholas Vachel Lindsay. Miss Amy Lowell, in her simpler moments, is interesting. But a century hence will statisticians be comparing these poets, and many others who are now more or less in that tenuous circle known as "the poetic eye," with those who came later? How many of them will be known as Kents is now known?

Our Trade With Venezuela

Of Much Larger Volume Than With Paraguay and Bolivia

To the Editor of The Tribune:

Sir: In a recent issue of The Tribune a letter was published from Francis B. Purdie in which the writer seemed to state by implication that the trade of Venezuela with the United States is of lesser volume than that of Paraguay and Bolivia.

Of course, exactly the contrary is true. According to the figures of the United States Department of Commerce for 1919, the latest available, Venezuela that year bought \$14,423,202 of goods from the United States. During the same year the imports of Paraguay from the United States amounted to \$894,271, while this country exported \$4,771,177 to Bolivia.

There is the same disparity when we come to examine the figures for exports from those three countries to the United States. In 1919, still according to the figures of the United States Department of Commerce, exports from Venezuela to this country amounted to \$32,110,785. The corresponding figures for Paraguay were \$1,031,414 and for Bolivia \$2,434,750.

E. ARROYO LAMEDA.
Venezuelan Commercial Attaché.
New York, Aug. 30, 1921.

"A Shadow on Justice"

To the Editor of The Tribune:

Sir: I admired your fine editorial "A Shadow on Justice." I once heard E. J. Phelps, Ambassador to England under President Cleveland, state in a lecture on law at Yale that when the poor man realized he could not get the same justice in the courts as the rich man the republic would never survive.

ARTHUR H. BEATY.
Grace Church Rectory, Cortland, N. Y., Aug. 30, 1921.

Women's Overseas League Aid

To the Editor of The Tribune:

Sir: Your article "A Shadow on Justice," published in Tuesday's Tribune, sure did hit the truth. If Brandeis' death was the result of a miscarriage of justice, who will shoulder the blame? More power to The Tribune.

W. HERBERT COOK.
New York, Aug. 30, 1921.

I suggest that the writer of the letter published under the caption "Nothing But Decorations" communicate with Miss Mary Bogart, secretary of the league, at 150 East Thirty-fifth Street. She will find one group of persons, at least, who have not forgotten the war.

HARRIET HOUGHTON.
Elmdale, Lunenburg, Mass., Aug. 29, 1921.

Is Singing a Lost Art?

To the Editor of The Tribune:

Sir: To the excellent letter "Questioning Vocal Teachers," by Douglas Stanley, in your issue of August 29, let me add that we are now face to face with a serious predicament in the singing world, for there are no new singers capable of carrying on the work of those who have left the field. There are plenty of good voices, and innumerable students and aspirants for high honors, but none has the equipment necessary to hold the pace demanded of first favorites.

This is not only a local condition, for improvisers are now scouring the world in vain for artists capable of sustaining principal roles. In singing the mechanics of sound must be understood and applied to the vocal instrument. In the old days singers were given sound musical training by great masters. But when musical training was supplanted by arbitrary theories of tone production, the mischief began which is now reaching its culmination in depriving the world of vocal artists.

J. LANDSEER MACKENZIE.
New York, Aug. 30, 1921.

Bonuses and Bonuses

To the Editor of The Tribune:

Sir: Will your correspondent who writes on "The Legion and the Bonus" kindly answer these questions:

What about the thousands of ex-service men out of employment, many of whom are married and have families? These men may not be disabled, but many are broken in health as the result of a hard campaign. This all happened while most of their countrymen were home enjoying the best salaries they ever received, and bonuses included, far away and safe from the whizz-bangs, gas and what not. What about the government employees in Washington and in the various navy yards and elsewhere who received bonuses?

O. L.
Ex-Doughboy, 2d Division.
New York, Aug. 31, 1921.

A Unanimous Choice

(From The Providence Journal)

The interested citizen doesn't care so much what tongue is designated as official for the disarmament conference as that it may speak the language of peace without hesitating or equivocating.

The Conning Tower

SPEAKING INDEPENDENTLY

The city's voice is full of pride. In shrieks and roars and jolts and jars, it sings of wealthy folk who ride about its streets in motor cars. It brags and boasts from sun to sun; it cries its wonders rich and gay. But I would sell them, every one, for hills that rise to greet the day.

The city's aspect, too, is proud. It rears its pinnacles on high To scrape the drifting summer cloud. Its lights affront the midnight sky. Its flashing incandescents tell The merits great of drinks and gum. But every last one I would sell For moonlight when the hawk-moths hum.

For where triumphant buildings leap To heights unknown by other towers, By night a thousand scrub and sweep From streets the filth of daylight hours, And where the great signs wink and blaze To mock the dawning of the morrow A million people go their ways From sordid sorrow on to sorrow.

Oh, bragging city by the sea! Oh, blatant, tawdry, tinsel town! This hymn of hate I sing of thee, Oh, siren in a drabbed gown! I would emancipate thee, From vain and gaudy lures I'd go To climes where skies are clear and free For—well, at most two weeks or so.

"I see in the papers," says Uncle Abimelech Bogardus, of Presqueville, N. L., "where Tammany is kicking about the new votin' machines. Gosh, you can't blame 'em when you think how well the old one has worked for them!"

F. F. V.—In the case of Fall vs. Summer, in which, as you noted, service of eviction seems to have been made, indications at this writing are that Summer intends to put up a hot fight.

WEINIE.

Alas, alas! We never write A jest about the weather, Except to find that overnight It's transformed altogether.

Dear Sir: Reluctant to butt in, but an unmistakable reference to Raisuli and Morocco bindings was made by B. L. T. in "Puck" in the summer of 1904. As somebody remarked to Queen Victoria at the conclusion of the first American Cup race: "There is no second, your majesty." A. H. F.

And was it not? We print a joke To make the public roar. And don't they thought! A dozen folk Cry "That's been done before!"

Still, why should we repine when we've got another scene for our precedent-shattering novel all worked out?

Bright and late some morning the villain is going to attempt a gentle assault upon the hero, compressing him senseless to the pavement, where he will lie with his breath coming in long pants. He will be carried to a far-distant drug store for treatment. And when the heroine learns that his wounds are not fatal her face will ascend. The doctor's bill, however, will amount to an untidy sum.

And who could despair even on a day like this, when Ireland has returned to normalcy?

To My Dog

You were the finest gentleman I ever knew, Of excellence accorded but A vagrant few; I was your only thought; To me you gave Your very all in all, My willing slave. To-day beside your lonely Grave I wept, And you, unknowing, All unmindful—slept. I left behind a little Prayer for you. The very finest gentleman I ever knew.

RUTH IRVING CONNER.

The prohibition enforcement agent is about to set a new "mopping up squad" at work here, and we can use a couple of them right away to preserve the integrity of our collar.

Phonographic Declaratives and Imperatives

(Called by Roy Lutzenberg from his record.)

Where the LADY Mississippi Flows— I Lost My Heart to You. Swanee River Moon—Held Fast in a Baby's Hands. Love Me—Underneath the Palms—Margie. Oh, Promise Me—I Love You truly. My Last Dollar—I'm Gonna Quit Saturday. Lead Kindly Light—I Need Thee Every Hour.

The morgue has been offered by the Dock Department to the New York Yacht Club, and we don't blame the Mail Truck Drivers' Association if it raises a cry of favoritism.

F. F. V.: Even if one doesn't work for a living, why bemoan the "Out of Order" escalator at Park Place subway station when the stairway can give you an additional thrill by making you lose all sense of direction, also your wind and temper?

A. B. S.

And if they don't fix it pretty soon, we're going to stomp their darned old sign and wear it, ourselves.

"Swears O'Malley Got \$1,500 Cash Under Pressure," says a "World" headline.

The pressure, obviously, driving a deeper into the Meyer.

F. F. V.

NOW IF THEY ONLY DON'T MOVE AGAIN BEFORE WE GET THERE



Books By Percy Hammond

To one who has just met Hall Caine for the first time (through "The Master of Man") Mr. Caine seems to be a pleasant old gentleman of generous inclinations, bent on making you happy by telling you long stories of his pet tale.

As you meet him, through "The Master of Man," you feel that he is glad to make your acquaintance. He asks you to sit with him on the piazza of his house at Baldromma, or wherever it is; he settles back in his easy chair, crossing his thin legs, and straightaway he begins to entertain you.

After a while you grow a little restless with the recital, it is so excited and overflowing, so dramatic and seductive. Though interested, you feel that you have had enough for the time, and you try to change the topic. Mr. Caine persists, hospitably. Preoccupied, you skip an episode or two, and try to think of other things. At a pause which seems propitious you rise and walk to the other end of the portico, and express an admiration for the view of the sea or the fragrance of the gorse. Mr. Caine follows you, resuming his tale with gestures. Finally you decide that you are in for it, that Mr. Caine has asked you to Baldromma solely for the purpose of talking at you, and that, after all, it is very good talk of its kind.

Five million copies of Mr. Caine's works have been sold, which is 4,000,000 fewer than the circulation of the novels of Gene Stratton Porter. Mr. Caine's books are longer than those of Mrs. Porter, and it is believable that he has disposed of more words than she has. Those things, of course, are of interest only to publishers and librarians. A glance over Mr. Caine's descriptive catalog will show that in most of his books he strikes great blows for righteousness. The troubled lives of the principal characters in "The Master of Man" and in several other novels by Mr. Caine are distinguished by sin, by suffering therefor, by vast renunciation and a great redemption at the end.

There is in them the explicable mistake, the subsequent Gethsemane, the overcome temptation to evade responsibility, the voluptuous agonies of abnegation. His man roles, usually persons of prominence in the community, seem always to be rushing feverishly up to the constables and crying out: "Arrest me, for I have sinned!" The constables, having known him, man and boy, these thirty years, decline to do so, and he has to try and to try again. Eventually he succeeds, and upon his trembling shoulders they adjust the pale martyr's shirt of fire. Actual acquaintance of Mr. Caine and his stories, perhaps, in this omnibus classification. One of the many disadvantages of newspaper book-reviewing is that it interferes so much with one's reading.

"Some One Else"

To the Editor of The Tribune:

Sir: Nearly time to cry quits on the "some one else's" question, but as long as people can miss the point so easily I am constrained to add my bit.

L. C. asks why not "each's other throat" or "one's another caps." Because as a matter of fact the threats and caps belonged to one another. The sentences mean, respectively "each went at the other's throat" and "each one grabbed the other's cap." It was actually the other's cap or throat. But in the expression "some one else" it is some one's thing, the else being added to show that it was a different person than has just been referred to. Else means besides, or in addition. We would not say "some one besides's" or "some one in addition's."

There is no sense to some one else's, but I think it should be allowed, like "he went for the will not," as a colloquialism. H. BAXTER LIEBLER. Riverside, Conn., Aug. 28, 1921.

Anonymity Out of Date?

Reader Who Does Not Take Kindly to "Immortals of Obscurity"

To the Editor of The Tribune:

Sir: Generally I am in perfect accord with The Tribune's editorials. I think they say the right things in the right way, and if I happen to hold a different view I promptly revise it, or drop it and adopt that of The Tribune. But I cannot do this in connection with the article "Immortals of Obscurity" in your issue of August 29.

Anonymity on the part of the authors of "The Glass of Fashion," "The Mirrors of Downing Street" and "The Mirrors of Washington" does not seem to me to be a virtue. My impression on reading "The Mirrors of Downing Street" and "The Mirrors of Washington" (I haven't read "The Glass of Fashion" yet) was that the authors had done something which they naturally suspected would not add to their popularity, so their identity was withheld. They possessed details and experiences that a gossip-loving, uncharitable public would swallow greedily. I can't think for a minute that modesty is responsible for this anonymous authorship. The method and spirit displayed suggest no modesty.

Making due allowance for the satire, ever a welcome and wholesome element in literature which the years and events they cover may arouse, there is an acidity and spite running through their pages bred only in persons whose "noses are out of joint."

Who wrote the books? I suppose these men and women who are on the inside of literary matters know, but we of the rank and file do not. And in our discussions with our friends we are accusing every British author we know, from Bernard Shaw to Sir Philip Gibbs, of being "A Gentleman With a Duster," and all of the Washington newspaper correspondents have to shoulder responsibility for "The Mirrors of Washington." There is a woman of my acquaintance that I shall never like so well again, because she insists it is the work of Irvin S. Cobb. Whatever we may think of Margot Asquith's "Autobiography" and Colonel Repington's "Diary," we must acknowledge these authors had the courage of their pens and boldly backed up what they had written, throwing no suspicion on the rest of literary England.

Pen names and anonymous authorship, long out of fashion, were never anything but a silly affectation. Now we know who and what our authors are, as we have every right to know, even if we do get a surfeit of them at times. The only desirable "Immortals of Obscurity" we possess are our editorial writers, and I hope some time their real names will be affixed to their articles and not remain exclusively the property of the newspapers until they die and get an obituary notice of a column or two.

GRACE E. EMERSON.
Norwalk, Conn., Aug. 30, 1921.

Taxing State Securities

To the Editor of The Tribune:

Sir: Some of your correspondents have with joy, apparently, the proposed taxation of state and municipal securities now being agitated in Congress. Do the advocates of the measure realize that the class chiefly affected would be women—widows and single—whose small incomes have been so invested to afford a safe return and who have never dreamed a government would arise to sweep aside all guarantees and promises of exemption?

FAIR PLAY.
Princeton, N. J., Aug. 28, 1921.